PROOF OF CLAIM The Home Insurance Company,

Merrimack County Superior Court. State of New Hampshire 03-E-0106 Read Carefully Before Completing This Form Please print or type

OR'S USE ONLY FOR LIQI

DATE PROOF OF CLAIM RECEIVED

"TLC"

The Deadline for Filing this Form is June 13, 2004.

You should file this Proof of Claim form if you have an <u>actual or potential claim</u> against The Home Insurance Company of any of its former subsidiaries* ("The Home") <u>even if the amount of the claim is presently uncertain</u>. To have your claim considered by the Liquidator, this Proof of Claim must be postmarked no later than <u>June 13, 2004</u>. Failure to timely return this completed form will likely result in the DENIAL OF YOUR CLAIM. You are advised to retain a copy of this completed form for your records.

	Claimant's Name: Viad Corp		If your name, address, e-mail address, or telephone	
	Claimant's Address:1850 North Central Avenue		number set forth above are	
	Phoenix, Arizona 85077		incorrect, or if they change, you must notify the	
	Claimant's Telephone Number: (_602_)207-5913 Fax Number: (_602_)207-2150 Email address:dsimmons@dbksmn.com		Liquidator so she can advise you of new information.	
4.	Claimant's Social Security Number, Tax ID Number or Employer ID Number:36-1169950			
5	Claim is submitted by (check one): a) X_Policyholder or former policyholder b)Third Party Claimant making a claim against a person insured by The Home c)Employee or former employee d)Broker or Agent e)General Creditor, Reinsurer, or Reinsured f)State or Local Government Entity g)Other; describe: scribe in detail the nature of your claim. You may attach a separate page if desired. Attach relevant documentation in			
supp	port of your claim, such as copies of outstanding invoices, contracts, or	onici sapporang ava-		
	See attached description and available relevant documentation			
6. be si	Indicate the total dollar amount of your claim. If the amount of your sure to attach sufficient documentation to allow for determination of the \$see attached (if amount is unknown, write the word "unknown,"	wn").		
7. doct	If you have any security backing up your claim, describe the nature a cumentation. If applicable, see attached			
8.	tes paid:No payments made	n, describe the amou		
9.	d of bloods at the state of the	educted by The Hom	e from your claim?	
10.	Do you claim a priority for your claim? If so, why:			
	Print the name, address and telephone number of the person who has completed this form. Name: David H. Simmons, Esq., de Beaubien, Knight, Simmons, Mantzaris & Neal, LLP Address: 332 North Magnolia Avenue Orlando, Florida 32801 Phone Number (_407 _) 422-2454 Email address dsimmons@dbksmn.com			
*]	The Home Indemnity Company, The Home Insurance Company of Indiana. Cit	y Insurance Company, mpany of Wisconsin	Home Lloyds Insurance Company	

of Texas, The Home Insurance Company of Illinois. and The Home Insurance Company of Wisconsin.

12.	If represented by counsel. please supply the following information: a Name of attorney:David H Simmons, Esquire b. Name of law firm:de Beaubien, Knight, Simmons, Mantzaris & Neal, LLP c. Address of law firm:332 North Magnolia Avenue Orlando, Florida 32801 d. Attorney's telephone:407-422-2454 e. Attorney's fax number:407-849-1845 f. Attorney's email address:dsimmons@dbksmn.com				
	If using a judgment against The Home as the basis for this claim: a. Amount of judgment b. Date of judgment c. Name of case d. Name and location of court e. Court docket or index number (if any)				
14. cond	14. If you are completing this Proof of Claim as a Third Party Claimant against an insured of The Home, you must conditionally release your claim against the insured by signing the following, as required by N.H. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 402-C:40 I:				
	I,				
	Claimant's signature Date				
15.	A control of Management				
	I, Stuart Meislik (insert individual claimant's name or name of person completing this form for a legal entity) subscribe and affirm as true, under the penalty of perjury as follows: that I have read the foregoing proof of claim and know the contents thereof, that this claim in the amount of dollars (\$0.000	Any person who knowingly files a statement of claim containing any false or misleading information is subject to criminal and civil penalties.			
	Claimant's signature				
16.	Send this completed Proof of Claim Form, postmarked by June 13, 2004, to:				

The Home Insurance Company in Liquidation P.O. Box 1720
Manchester, New Hampshire 03105-1720

You should complete and send this form if you believe you have an actual or potential claim against The Home even if the amount of the claim is presently uncertain.

** NOTE TO TRUSTEE: The policies at issue were assigned to a successor corporation when the policyholder sold the corporation and assets insured under various named policies. This claim is submitted on behalf of the policyholder for its interests under various policies that may have arisen prior to the sale of the insured's corporation and assets.

The Home Insurance Company,

Merrimack County Superior Court, State of New Hampshire 03-E-0106

CLAIM DESCRIPTION

Transportation Leasing Co. ("TLC")

In each of the matters and claims set forth in these Proofs of Claim, the Claimant has paid full consideration in the form of premiums for the insurance coverage provided under the Home Policies. All policies that are enclosed with the TCL claims are believed to apply to all claims. The particulars of the Claims are as set forth herein. The identity and amount of the security for any Claim is set forth herein. The amounts of any payments on any Claim are also set forth herein. The sums set forth in the Proofs of Claim are justly due and owing and subject to further verification, there are no set offs, counterclaims, or other defenses except as set forth in the Proofs of Claim. Copies of the insurance policies and other documents upon which these claims are made are attached. The Claimant has made a diligent inquiry regarding the matters set forth in the Proofs of Claim, but based upon the contingent nature of a portion of some of such claims, the claims to that extent are necessarily estimates based upon information presently available. The Claimant therefore reserves the right to amend, supplement, revise, or otherwise modify these claims (including setting forth any right of priority) based upon receipt of additional information.

5. Description of claims:

- A. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of the Oak Grove landfill, a hazardous materials disposal site, in **Anoka, MN**. Oil and possibly solvent contamination was discovered in the groundwater and soil prior to 1993. The insured allegedly generated waste oil and possibly other products that were allegedly disposed of at the Oak Grove landfill, thus the insured was named as a potentially responsible party for purposes of allocating remediation costs. Because the insured (TLC) was sold to Greyhound Lines, Inc. ("Greyhound") on or about 1987, however, Greyhound has assumed primary responsibility for the remediation costs of this particular site. Should Greyhound become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the clean up associated with this landfill site the insured may become secondarily liable for the claim.
- B. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of the bus terminal/vehicle maintenance operations in **Atlanta**, **GA**. Diesel and oil contamination was discovered prior to 1989. The insured settled with the hotel developer that had acquired the site and who had found the contamination prior to construction. At this time it is unknown whether any contamination has migrated to adjacent properties, but given the site's location there is a substantial risk of exposure for future third party or other claims.
- C. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of the Douglassville recycling site in **Berks County**, **PA**. Oil and possibly solvent contamination was discovered in the groundwater and soil prior to 1993. The insured allegedly generated waste oil and possibly other products that were allegedly disposed

of with the Douglassville recycler, thus, the insured was named as a potentially responsible party for purposes of allocating remediation costs. Because the insured (TLC) was sold to Greyhound Lines, Inc. ("Greyhound") on or about 1987, however, Greyhound has assumed primary responsibility for the remediation costs of this particular site. Should Greyhound become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the clean up associated with this site the insured may become secondarily liable for the claim.

- D. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of the Clinton-Bender/Bern Metals battery recycling site in **Buffalo**, **NY**. Lead and acid contamination was discovered in the groundwater and soil prior to 1996. The insured allegedly generated waste lead and acid from batteries that were allegedly disposed of at the Clinton-Bender/Bern Metals landfill/recycling site, thus the insured was named as a potentially responsible party for purposes of allocating remediation costs. The site is currently under a state and federally mandated clean up. To the insured's knowledge, a site assessment and remediation is ongoing. The insured paid a settlement sum in 1999 but since this is a designated Superfund site, there is a risk that the matter may be reopened in the future should additional contamination and/or damages be found, including but not limited to natural resource damages and/or third party claims.
- This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of the Casmalia recycling/disposal site in **Santa Barbara**, **CA**. Oil and petroleum hydrocarbon contamination was discovered in the groundwater and soil prior to 2000. The insured allegedly generated waste oil that was allegedly disposed of at the Casmalia property, the insured was named as a potentially responsible party for purposes of allocating remediation costs. The insured has settled with the EPA regarding this Superfund site. Additionally, the State of California recently sought compensation of its costs from the parties settling with the Federal EPA. The insured is at risk for liability for claims made by the State of California and the EPA for possible natural resource damages.
- F. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of bus maintenance garage operations in **Cleveland**, **OH**. Diesel and oil contamination was discovered prior to 1987. The site is currently undergoing remediation efforts pursuant to state mandate and those efforts are expected to continue for some time.
- G. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of garage maintenance operations in **Dallas, TX**. Diesel and oil contamination was discovered in 1988. The site is currently undergoing remediation efforts pursuant to state mandate and those efforts are expected to continue for some time.
- H. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of the bus terminal/vehicle maintenance operations in **Flagstaff, AR**. Diesel contamination was discovered prior to 1985. The site is currently undergoing

remediation efforts pursuant to state mandate and those efforts are expected to continue for some time.

- This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of the Purity Oil recycling site in **Fresno**, **CA**. Oil and petroleum hydrocarbon contamination was discovered in the groundwater and soil prior to 1992. The insured allegedly generated waste oil that was allegedly disposed of with Purity Oil, thus the insured was named as a potentially responsible party for purposes of allocating remediation costs. The insured entered an early settlement with the EPA in 1997 but because this is a Superfund site, should additional damages be found the case could be reopened. Accordingly, the insured is at substantial risk for additional damages including but not limited to natural resource and/or third party claims.
- This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of a site located in **Houston**, **TX**. The site likely involves an underground storage tank, but the insured currently has no further information regarding this site or its potential risk for liability. As additional information is located it will be forwarded with an amended proof of claim.
- K. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of bus terminal operations in **Jacksonville**, **FL**. Diesel and oil contamination was discovered prior to 1987. The site is currently undergoing remediation efforts pursuant to state mandate and those efforts are expected to continue for some time. Because the insured (TLC) was sold to Greyhound Lines, Inc. ("Greyhound") on or about 1987, however, Greyhound has assumed primary responsibility for the remediation costs of this particular site. Should Greyhound become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the clean up associated with this site the insured may become secondarily liable for the claim.
- L. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of bus terminal and vehicle maintenance operations for a second site in **Jacksonville**, **FL**. Diesel and oil contamination was discovered prior to 1989. The site is currently undergoing remediation efforts pursuant to state mandate and those efforts are expected to continue for some time. Because the insured (TLC) was sold to Greyhound Lines, Inc. ("Greyhound") on or about 1987, however, Greyhound has assumed primary responsibility for the remediation costs of this particular site. Should Greyhound become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the clean up associated with this site the insured may become secondarily liable for the claim.
- M. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of the Seaboard Chemical landfill/hazardous materials disposal site, in **Jamestown**, **NC**. Oil and petroleum hydrocarbon contamination was discovered in the groundwater and soil prior to 1997. The insured allegedly generated waste oil that was allegedly disposed of at the Seaboard Chemical landfill, thus, the insured was named as a potentially responsible party for purposes of allocating remediation costs. The insured entered an early settlement agreement with the EPA in 1997. Since the landfill is a

federal Superfund site, however, there is a risk that the matter may be reopened in the future should additional contamination and/or damages be found, including but not limited to natural resource and/or third party claims.

- N. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of bus terminal and vehicle maintenance operations in **Louisville**, **KY**. Diesel and oil contamination was discovered in 1985. The site is currently undergoing remediation efforts pursuant to state mandate and those efforts are expected to continue for some time.
- O. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of bus terminal and vehicle maintenance operations in **Madison**, **WI**. Diesel and oil contamination was discovered in 1989. The site is currently undergoing remediation efforts pursuant to state mandate and those efforts are expected to continue for some time.
- P. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of bus terminal and vehicle maintenance operations in **Memphis**, **TN**. Diesel and oil contamination was discovered in 1989. The site is currently undergoing remediation efforts pursuant to state mandate and those efforts are expected to continue for some time.
- Q. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of the Gold Coast recycling site in **Miami, FL**. Oil and petroleum hydrocarbon contamination was discovered in the groundwater and soil in 1989. Because the insured allegedly generated waste oil that was allegedly disposed of with Gold Coast, the insured was named as a potentially responsible party for purposes of allocating remediation costs. The insured entered an early settlement with the EPA in 1993. Since the site is considered a Superfund site, however, there is a risk that the matter may be reopened in the future should additional contamination and/or damages be found, including but not limited to natural resource and/or third party claims.
- R. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of bus maintenance and garage operations in **Miami**, **FL**. Diesel and oil contamination was discovered in 1989. The site is currently undergoing remediation efforts pursuant to state mandate and those efforts are expected to continue for some time.
- S. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of the Union Scrap III recycling site in **Minneapolis, MN.** Oil and possibly solvent contamination was discovered in the groundwater and soil in 1993. The insured allegedly generated waste oil and possibly other products that were allegedly disposed of with Union Scrap III, thus, the insured was named as a potentially responsible party for purposes of allocating remediation costs. Because the insured (TLC) was sold to Greyhound Lines, Inc. ("Greyhound") on or about 1987, however, Greyhound has assumed primary responsibility for the remediation costs of this particular site. Should

Greyhound become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the clean up associated with this site the insured may become secondarily liable for the claim.

- This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of the Shafer Metal recycling site in **Minneapolis, MN.** Oil and possibly solvent contamination was discovered in the groundwater and soil in 1994. The insured allegedly generated waste oil and possibly other products that were allegedly disposed of with Shafer Metal, thus the insured was named as a potentially responsible party for purposes of allocating remediation costs. Because the insured (TLC) was sold to Greyhound Lines, Inc. ("Greyhound") on or about 1987, however, Greyhound has assumed primary responsibility for the remediation costs of this particular site. Should Greyhound become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the clean up associated with this site the insured may become secondarily liable for the claim.
- U. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of the Operating Industries landfill, a nearly 1000' high hazardous materials disposal site, in Monterey Park, CA. A significant number of contaminants were discovered in the groundwater and soil in 1987, including but not limited to benzene, toluene, and chlorinated hydrocarbons. In addition to the groundwater and soil contamination the landfill is emitting highly toxic gases. Because the insured allegedly generated various hazardous waste products that were allegedly disposed of at the Operating Industries landfill, the insured was named as a potentially responsible party for purposes of allocating remediation costs. To the insured's knowledge the site is under both a state and federal consent degree but the site has not yet been fully remediated. The insured has not yet reached a final settlement with the EPA or the State of California. Accordingly, the insured is at risk for past, present, and future remediation costs, natural resource claims, and third party claims made by both the EPA and the State of California.
- V. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of the Booth Oil recycling site in **N. Tonawanda**, **NY**. Oil and possibly solvent contamination was discovered in the groundwater and soil in 1997. The insured allegedly generated waste oil and possibly other products that were allegedly disposed of with Booth Oil, thus the insured was named as a potentially responsible party for purposes of allocating remediation costs. Because the insured (TLC) was sold to Greyhound Lines, Inc. ("Greyhound") on or about 1987, however, Greyhound has assumed primary responsibility for the remediation costs of this particular site. Should Greyhound become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the clean up associated with this site the insured may become secondarily liable for the claim.
- W. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of the Saad recycling site in **Nashville**, **TN**. Oil and possibly solvent contamination was discovered in the groundwater and soil in 1990. The insured allegedly generated waste oil and possibly other products that were allegedly disposed of with Saad, thus the insured was named as a potentially responsible party for purposes of allocating remediation costs. Because the insured (TLC) was sold to Greyhound Lines, Inc.

("Greyhound") on or about 1987, however, Greyhound has assumed primary responsibility for the remediation costs of this particular site. Should Greyhound become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the clean up associated with this site the insured may become secondarily liable for the claim.

- X. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of the bus terminal and vehicle maintenance operations at the Exxon site located in **New York, NY**. Diesel and oil contamination was discovered in 1989 and an underground storage tank may have been removed as part of the remediation efforts. The insured believes this claim was settled but the date of such settlement is not currently known. At this time the insured has no other information regarding this site or its potential risk for liability. As additional information is located it will be forwarded with an amended proof of claim.
- Y. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of bus terminal and vehicle maintenance operations in **Oakland**, **CA**. Diesel and oil contamination was discovered in 1987. The site is currently undergoing remediation efforts pursuant to state mandate and those efforts are expected to continue for some time.
- This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of bus terminal and vehicle maintenance operations in **Omaha**, **NB**. Diesel and oil contamination was discovered in 1989. Six (6) underground storage tanks were removed, the site was fully remediated, and a no further action letter was issued. There are, however, three current monitoring wells on adjacent properties that still reveal the presence of contamination. More importantly, since contamination exists on properties adjacent to the site, the insured is at a significant risk of exposure for continued monitoring costs and possible third party claims.
- AA. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of the PSC Resources recycling site in **Palmer, MA**. Oil and petroleum hydrocarbon contamination was discovered in the groundwater and soil prior to 1992. Because the insured allegedly generated waste oil that was allegedly disposed with PSC Resources, the insured was named as a potentially responsible party for purposes of allocating remediation costs. The insured entered an early settlement with the EPA in 1994. Since this is a Superfund site, however, there is a risk that the matter may be reopened in the future should additional contamination and/or damages be found, including but not limited to natural resource and/or third party claims.
- BB. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of the Petroleum Products recycling site in **Pembroke Park**, **FL**. Oil and possibly solvent contamination was discovered in the groundwater and soil prior to 1990. The insured allegedly generated waste oil and possibly other products that were allegedly disposed of with Petroleum Products, thus the insured was named as a potentially responsible party for purposes of allocating remediation costs. Because the insured (TLC) was sold to Greyhound Lines, Inc. ("Greyhound") on or about 1987, however,

Greyhound has assumed primary responsibility for the remediation costs of this particular site. Should Greyhound become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the clean up associated with this site the insured may become secondarily liable for the claim.

- CC. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of bus terminal and vehicle maintenance operations in **Phoenix**, **AZ**. Diesel and oil contamination was discovered prior to 1983. The site is currently undergoing remediation efforts pursuant to state mandate and those efforts are expected to continue for some time.
- DD. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of bus terminal and vehicle maintenance operations in **Portland**, **OR**. Diesel and oil contamination was discovered prior to 1992. The site is currently undergoing remediation efforts pursuant to state mandate and those efforts are expected to continue for some time. Because the insured (TLC) was sold to Greyhound Lines, Inc. ("Greyhound") on or about 1987, however, Greyhound has assumed primary responsibility for the remediation costs of this particular site. Should Greyhound become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the clean up associated with this site the insured may become secondarily liable for the claim.
- EE. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of the Petrochem/Ekotek recycling site in **Salt Lake City, UT**. Oil and possibly solvent contamination was discovered in the groundwater and soil prior to 1992. The insured allegedly generated waste oil and possibly other products that were allegedly disposed of with Petrochem/Ekotek, thus the insured was named as a potentially responsible party for purposes of allocating remediation costs. Because the insured (TLC) was sold to Greyhound Lines, Inc. ("Greyhound") on or about 1987, however, Greyhound has assumed primary responsibility for the remediation costs of this particular site. Should Greyhound become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the clean up associated with this site the insured may become secondarily liable for the claim.
- FF. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of bus terminal and vehicle maintenance operations in **San Diego**, **CA**. Diesel and oil contamination was discovered covering an entire city block prior to 1989. The city block had been remediated efforts pursuant to state mandate. Additionally, one (1) third party claim has already been settled with an adjacent landowner. Given the remediation site's size and location the insured is at a substantial risk for future costs and other third party claims.
- GG. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of bus terminal and vehicle maintenance operations in **Santa, Rosa, CA**. Diesel and oil contamination was discovered in storm sewers prior to 1996. Pursuant to state mandate the insured was required to provide its former underground tank was not a source of contamination to the storm sewer. Because the insured (TLC) was sold to Greyhound Lines, Inc. ("Greyhound") on or about 1987, however, Greyhound has assumed primary

responsibility for the assessment costs of this particular site. Should Greyhound become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the clean up associated with this site the insured may become secondarily liable for the claim.

- HH. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of bus terminal and vehicle maintenance operations at the **Seattle, WA** airport. Diesel and oil contamination was discovered prior to 1989. Pursuant to state mandate at least one (1) underground storage tank has been removed and remediation efforts at the site are expected to continue for some time.
- of bus terminal and vehicle maintenance operations in **Seattle, WA**. Diesel and oil contamination was discovered prior to 1989. Pursuant to state mandate at least one (1) underground storage tank has been removed and remediation efforts at the site are expected to continue for some time. Because the insured (TLC) was sold to Greyhound Lines, Inc. ("Greyhound") on or about 1987, however, Greyhound has assumed primary responsibility for the remediation costs of this particular site. Should Greyhound become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the clean up associated with this site the insured may become secondarily liable for the claim.
- JJ. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of the South 8th Street recycling site in **West Memphis**, **AR**. Oil and possibly solvent contamination was discovered in the groundwater and soil prior to 1993. The insured allegedly generated waste oil and possibly other products that were allegedly disposed of with the South 8th Street recycler, thus the insured was named as a potentially responsible party for purposes of allocating remediation costs. Because the insured (TLC) was sold to Greyhound Lines, Inc. ("Greyhound") on or about 1987, however, Greyhound has assumed primary responsibility for the remediation costs of this particular site. Should Greyhound become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the clean up associated with this site the insured may become secondarily liable for the claim.
- KK. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of bus terminal and vehicle maintenance operations in **Winston-Salem**, **NC**. Diesel and oil contamination was discovered prior to 1991. Pursuant to state mandate at least one (1) underground storage tank has been removed and remediation efforts at the site are expected to continue for some time as free product is still being removed from recovery wells on the site.
- LL. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of the Great Lakes Asphalt recycling site in **Zionsville**, **IN**. Oil and possibly solvent contamination was discovered in the groundwater and soil prior to 1993. The insured allegedly generated waste oil and possibly other products that were allegedly disposed of with Great Lakes Asphalt, thus the insured was named as a potentially responsible party for purposes of allocating remediation costs. Because the insured (TLC) was sold to Greyhound Lines, Inc. ("Greyhound") on or about 1987, however, Greyhound has

assumed primary responsibility for the remediation costs of this particular site. Should Greyhound become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the clean up associated with this site the insured may become secondarily liable for the claim.

MM. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of the Envirochem recycling site in **Zionsville**, **IN**. Oil and petroleum hydrocarbon contamination was discovered in the groundwater and soil prior to 1987. The insured allegedly generated that was allegedly disposed of at Envirochem, the insured was named as a potentially responsible party for purposes of allocating remediation costs. The insured settled with the EPA in 1990. Since this is a Superfund site, however, there is a risk that the matter may be reopened in the future should additional contamination and/or damages be found, including but not limited to natural resource and/or third party claims.

NN. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination of the Third Site recycler in **Zionsville, IN**. Oil and possibly solvent contamination was discovered in the groundwater and soil prior to 1996. The insured allegedly generated waste oil and possibly other products that were allegedly disposed of with Third Site, thus the insured was named as a potentially responsible party for purposes of allocating remediation costs. Because the insured (TLC) was sold to Greyhound Lines, Inc. ("Greyhound") on or about 1987, however, Greyhound has assumed primary responsibility for the remediation costs of this particular site. Should Greyhound become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the clean up associated with this site the insured may become secondarily liable for the claim.

OO. This is a claim for insurance coverage due to environmental contamination that may exist at another **70+** sites previously owned by TLC as a result of either: vehicle maintenance operations and/or waste disposal. Because the insured (TLC) was sold to Greyhound Lines in 1987 the insured currently has no itemized list of the 70+ sites that may be the subject of future claims. The insured is attempting to identify these other sites and will provide an amended proof of claim once the sites are identified.

To the best of the insured's knowledge there are no claims currently pending against it regarding any of the other sites. As the above history indicates, however, there is a very strong likelihood that future claims may be made against any or all of the TLC bus terminal sites and garage sites and/or adjacent properties. Based on the average costs incurred per site to date and depending upon the present or future use of the former TLC sites (i.e., commercial, residential, farming, etc.), the insured runs a significant risk of exposure for liability to governmental agencies and/or private property owners for alleged contamination, remediation, property value diminution, and/or bodily injury claims.

6. Total dollar amount of claims:

¹ Claims have been made against 41 sites to date, which is 37% of the 110 sites previously owned by TLC.

A. \$.00 (known) \$ 200,000.00 (unknown) \$ 200,000.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred minimal or no costs and expenses related to this site. There is, however, a strong possibility that this site may involve liability to the insured for remediation costs, natural resource, and/or third party claims should Greyhound Lines become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the necessary remediation. Future claims are estimated to approximate \$200,000.00.

B. \$ 98,829.00 (known)
\$ 50,000.00 (unknown)
\$ 148,829.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date, the insured has incurred costs and expenses of approximately \$98,829.00. Copies of relevant and available invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation of the claim made against it and ultimate settlement. Future costs for discovery of additional contamination and/or possible third party claims are estimated to approximate \$50,000.00.

C. \$ 0 (known)
\$ 200,000.00 (unknown)
\$ 200,000.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred minimal or no costs and expenses related to this site. There is, however, a strong possibility that this site may involve liability to the insured for remediation costs, natural resource, and/or third party claims should Greyhound Lines become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the necessary remediation. Future claims are estimated to approximate \$200,000.00.

D. \$ 105,425.00 (known)\$ 200,000.00 (unknown)\$ 345,425.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred costs and expenses of \$ 105,425.00. Copies of relevant and available invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation of the site and its defense of the claim made by the EPA. Because this is a Superfund site there is a significant risk of exposure for future damages, including but not limited to natural resource and/or third party claims. Future claims are estimated to approximate \$200,000.00.

- E. \$ 236,264.00 (known) \$ 100,000.00 (unknown)
 - \$ 336,264.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date, the insured has incurred costs and expenses of approximately 236,264.00. Copies of relevant and available invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation of the claim and settlement. Because this is a Superfund site there is a significant risk of exposure for future damages, including but not limited to natural resource and/or third party claims. Future claims are estimated to approximate \$100,000.00.

- F. \$ 328,496.00 (known) \$ 200,000.00 (unknown)
 - \$ 528,496.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred costs and expenses of approximately \$328,496.00 Copies of relevant and available invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation of the site, preparation of a Contamination Status Report and/or a Contamination Assessment Report, developing remediation options, preparing a Corrective Action Plan, and remediation costs Future claims, including but not limited to possible third party and other claims, are estimated to approximate \$200,000.00.

- G. \$ 257,505.62 (known)
 - \$ 200,000.00 (unknown)
 - \$ 120,821.65 (recovered from State of Texas reimbursement fund)
 - \$ 336,685.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred costs and expenses of approximately \$257,505.62, and recovered \$120,821.65 from a state pollution reimbursement fund. Copies of relevant and available invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation, preliminary assessment of the site, and remediation activities. Future costs for continuing remedial activities and possible third party claims are estimated to approximate \$200,000.00. This estimate takes into consideration the possibility that the additional costs may qualify for state reimbursement, and that additional state reimbursement funds are available.

- H. \$ 11,349.14 (known)
 - **5** .00 (unknown)
 - \$ 10,214.23 (recovered from State of Arizona reimbursement fund)
 - \$ 1,134.91 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred costs and expenses of \$11,349.14, and has recovered \$10,214.23 from the State of Arizona pollution reimbursement fund. Copies of relevant invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation of the site and ultimate full remediation of the site. There is little or no risk that the matter may be reopened in the future, thus, estimated future damages are \$0.

- I. \$ 26,593.00 (known)
 - \$ 100,000.00 (unknown)
 - \$ 126,594.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date, the insured has incurred \$26,593.00 in expenses related to this site, including remediation and settlement costs. Because this is a Superfund site, however, there is a significant risk of exposure for future damages including but not limited to natural resource and/or third party claims. Future claims are estimated to approximate \$100,000.00.

- J. \$ 72,798.00 (known)
 - \$ 200,000.00 (unknown)
 - \$ 272,798.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred \$72,798.00 in costs or expenses directly associated with the site assessment and ongoing remedial efforts at the site. The insured currently has no documentation regarding this site and no further information. As additional information is acquired it will be forwarded to the Receiver with an amended proof of claim. Given the nature and location of the site, the amount of money spent on other similar sites, and possible third party claims, future claims are estimated to approximate \$200,000.00.

- K. \$ 392,354.00 (known)
 - \$ 500,000.00 (unknown)
 - \$ 892,354.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred \$392,354.00 in costs or expenses directly associated with the site assessment, preparation of the Contamination Assessment Report and Corrective Action Plan, and remediation activities. Because the site is actively being remediated future claims are estimated to approximate \$500,000.00.

- L. \$ 845,655.16 (known)
 - \$ 200,000.00 (unknown)
 - \$ 1,045,655.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred \$845,655.16 in costs or expensed directly associated with the site assessment, preparation of the Contamination Assessment Report, the Corrective Action Plan, additional documents mandated by the State of Florida, and remediation activities. Because the site is undergoing active remediation and monitoring activities, future claims are estimated to approximate \$200,000.00

- **M.** \$ 1,853.00 (known)
 - \$ 100,000.00 (unknown)
 - \$ 101,853.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date, the insured has incurred \$1,853.00 in expenses related to this site, including remediation and settlement costs. Because this is a Superfund site, however,

there is a significant risk of exposure for future claims, including but not limited to natural resource damages and/or third party claims. Future claims are estimated to approximate \$100,000.00.

- \$ 571,923.00 (known) N.
 - \$ 200,000.00 (unknown)
 - \$ 771.923.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred costs and expenses of approximately \$571,923.00 Copies of relevant and available invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation of the site, preparation of a Contamination Status Report and/or a Contamination Assessment Report, developing remediation options, preparing a Corrective Action Plan, and remediation costs. Estimated future costs, including but not limited to third party or other claims, are estimated to approximate \$200,000.00

- \$ 600,000.00 (known) O.
 - **50,000.00** (unknown)
 - \$ 570,000.00 (recovered from State of Wisconsin reimbursement fund)
 - 80,000.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred costs and expenses of approximately \$600,000.00, and recovered approximately \$570,000.00 from a state pollution reimbursement fund. Copies of relevant and available invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation, preliminary assessment of the site, and remediation activities. Future costs for continuing remedial activities and possible third party claims are estimated to approximate \$80,000.00. This estimate takes into consideration the possibility that the additional costs may qualify for state reimbursement, and that additional state reimbursement funds are available.

- **467,685.00** (known) P.
 - **400,000.00** (unknown)
 - 64,630.00 (recovered from State of Tennessee reimbursement fund)
 - 812,055.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred costs and expenses of approximately \$467,685.00, and recovered \$64,630.00 from a state pollution reimbursement fund. Copies of relevant and available invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation, preliminary assessment of the site, and remediation activities. Future costs for continuing remedial activities and possible third party claims are estimated to approximate \$400,000.00. This estimate takes into consideration the possibility that the additional costs may qualify for state reimbursement, and that additional state reimbursement funds are available.

Q. \$ 112,548.00 (known) \$ 200,000.00 (unknown) \$ 312.548.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date, the insured has incurred costs and expenses of approximately \$98,829.00. Copies of relevant and available invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation of the claim made against it and ultimate settlement. Because this is a Superfund site, however, there is a significant risk of exposure for future damages including but not limited to natural resource and/or third party claims. Future claims are estimated to approximate \$200,000.00.

R. \$ 532,529.00 (known) \$ 200,000.00 (unknown) \$ 36,386.00 (recovered from State of Florida reimbursement fund) \$ 696,243.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred costs and expenses of approximately \$532,529.00 and recovered \$36,286.00 from a state pollution reimbursement fund. Copies of relevant and available invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation, preliminary assessment of the site, and remediation activities. Future costs for continuing remedial activities and possible third party claims are estimated to approximate \$200,000.00. This estimate takes into consideration the possibility that the additional costs may qualify for state reimbursement, and that additional state reimbursement funds are available.

S. \$ 0 (known) \$ 200,000.00 (unknown) \$ 200,000.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred minimal or no costs and expenses related to this site. There is a strong possibility however, that this site may involve liability to the insured for remediation costs, natural resource, and/or third party damages should Greyhound Lines become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the necessary remediation. Future claims are estimated to approximate \$200,000.00.

T. \$ 0 (known) \$ 200,000.00 (unknown) \$ 200,000.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred minimal or no costs and expenses related to this site. There is a strong possibility however, that this site may involve liability to the insured for remediation costs, natural resource, and/or third party damages should Greyhound Lines become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the necessary remediation. Future claims are estimated to approximate \$200,000.00.

U. \$ 486,925.00 (known)
\$ 1,000,000.00 (unknown)
\$ 30,772.00 (recovered from other settling parties)
\$ 1,456,153.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred costs and expenses of \$ 105,425.00 and has recovered \$30,772.00 from other settling parties. Copies of relevant and available invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation of the site and its defense of the claim made by the EPA. Because this is a Superfund site there is a significant risk of exposure for future costs, including but not limited to remediation, natural resource, and/or third party claims. Future claims are estimated to approximate \$1,000,000.00.

V. \$ 0 (known) \$ 200,000.00 (unknown) \$ 200,000.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred minimal or no costs and expenses related to this site. There is a strong possibility however, that this site may involve liability to the insured for remediation costs, natural resource, and/or third party damages should Greyhound Lines become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the necessary remediation. Future claims are estimated to approximate \$200,000.00.

W. \$ 0 (known) \$ 200,000.00 (unknown) \$ 200,000.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred minimal or no costs and expenses related to this site. There is a strong possibility however, that this site may involve liability to the insured for remediation costs, natural resource, and/or third party damages should Greyhound Lines become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the necessary remediation. Future claims are estimated to approximate \$200,000.00.

X. \$ 0 (known) \$ 100,000.00 (unknown) \$ 100,000.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

The insured currently has no information regarding this site other than the fact that diesel and oil contamination was discovered at a former Exxon station in New York, NY in 1989, and that an underground storage tank may have been removed as part of the remediation efforts. The insured believes the claim was settled but currently has no documents available to demonstrate the settlement and/or remediation costs incurred by the insured. As documents regarding this settlement and the full nature of the claim

become available the documents will be forwarded to the Receiver. Given that this site involved an underground storage tank there is a possibility that contamination may have migrated onto adjacent sites, giving rise to potential third party claims. Future claims are estimated to approximate \$100,000.00.

Y. \$ 617,121.00 (known) \$ 500,000.00 (unknown) \$ 817,121.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred costs and expenses of approximately \$617,121.00. Copies of relevant and available invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation of the site, preparation of a Contamination Status Report and/or a Contamination Assessment Report, developing remediation options, preparing a Corrective Action Plan, and remediation costs. Future costs and possible third party or other claims are estimated to approximate \$500,000.00.

Z. \$ 73,809.51 (known)
\$ 500,000.00 (unknown)
\$ 573,509.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred costs and expenses of approximately \$73,809.51. Copies of relevant and available invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation of the site, preparation of a Contamination Status Report and/or a Contamination Assessment Report, developing remediation options, preparing a Corrective Action Plan, remediation costs, and obtaining a no further action letter. Despite the no further action letter, however, the insured will continue to incur costs for the monitoring wells placed on adjacent parcels. Given the presence of free product in those three monitoring wells future costs and possible third party and other claims are estimated to approximate \$500,000.00.

AA. \$ 41,988.00 (known) \$ 100,000.00 (unknown) \$ 141,988.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date, the insured has incurred costs and expenses of approximately \$41,988.00. Copies of relevant and available invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation of the claim and settlement. Because this is a Superfund site there is a significant risk of exposure for future damages, including but not limited to natural resource and/or third party claims. Future claims are estimated to approximate \$100,000.00.

BB. \$ 0 (known) \$ 200,000.00 (unknown) \$ 200,000.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM To date the insured has incurred minimal or no costs and expenses related to this site. There is a strong possibility however, that this site may involve liability to the insured for remediation costs, natural resource, and/or third party damages should Greyhound Lines become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the necessary remediation. Future claims are estimated to approximate \$200,000.00.

CC. \$ 241,104.00 (known) \$ 100,000.00 (unknown) \$ 235,383.00 (recovered from State of Arizona reimbursement fund) \$ 105,721.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred costs and expenses of approximately \$241,104.00, and recovered \$235,383.00 from a state pollution reimbursement fund. Copies of relevant and available invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation, preliminary assessment of the site, and remediation activities. Future costs for continuing remedial activities and possible third party claims are estimated to approximate \$100,000.00. This estimate takes into consideration the possibility that the additional costs may qualify for state reimbursement, and that additional state reimbursement funds are available.

DD. \$ 162,053.00 (known) \$ 300,000.00 (unknown) \$ 462,053.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred costs and expenses of approximately \$162,053.00 Copies of relevant and available invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation of the site, preparation of a Contamination Status Report and/or a Contamination Assessment Report, developing remediation options, preparing a Corrective Action Plan. Should Greyhound Lines become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the necessary remediation, the insured may become liable for remediation costs, natural resource, and/or third party claims. Accordingly, future claims are estimated to approximate \$300,000.00.

EE. \$ 0 (known) \$ 200,000.00 (unknown) \$ 200,000.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred minimal or no costs and expenses related to this site. There is a strong possibility however, that this site may involve liability to the insured for remediation costs, natural resource, and/or third party damages should Greyhound Lines become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the necessary remediation. Future claims are estimated to approximate \$200,000.00.

```
FF. $ 3,001,580.00 (known)
$ 1,000,000.00 (unknown)
$ 4,001,580.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM
```

To date the insured has incurred costs and expenses of \$ 3,001,580.00. Copies of relevant and available invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation of the site and claim, preparation of a Contamination Status Report and/or a Contamination Assessment Report, developing remediation options, preparing a Corrective Action Plan, and implementing remediation measures. Because there is ongoing remediation at this one-city block site, and because at least one third party claim has already been settled, here is a significant risk of exposure for future third party or other claims. Accordingly, Future claims are estimated to approximate \$1,000,000.00.

GG. \$ 56,143.50 (known) \$ 200,000.00 (unknown) \$ <u>256,143.50</u> TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred costs and expenses of approximately \$56,143.50. Copies of relevant and available invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation of the site, preparation of a Contamination Status Report and/or a Contamination Assessment Report, developing remediation options, preparing a Corrective Action Plan. Should Greyhound Lines become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the necessary remediation, the insured may become liable for remediation costs, natural resource, and/or third party claims. Accordingly, future claims are estimated to approximate \$200,000.00.

HH. \$ 10,849.00 (known) \$ 200,000.00 (unknown) \$ 210,849.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred costs and expenses of approximately \$10,849. Copies of relevant and available invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation, preliminary assessment of the site, and remediation activities. Future costs for continuing remedial activities and possible third party claims are estimated to approximate \$200,000.00.

II. \$ 492,535.00 (known) \$ 200,000.00 (unknown) \$ 692,535.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred costs and expenses of approximately \$492,535.00. Copies of relevant and available invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation of the site, preparation of a Contamination Status Report and/or a Contamination

Assessment Report, developing remediation options, preparing a Corrective Action Plan. Should Greyhound Lines become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the necessary remediation, the insured may become liable for remediation costs, natural resource, and/or third party claims. Accordingly, future claims are estimated to approximate \$200,000.00.

JJ. \$ 0 (known)
\$ 200,000.00 (unknown)
\$ 200,000.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred minimal or no costs and expenses related to this site. There is a strong possibility however, that this site may involve liability to the insured for remediation costs, natural resource, and/or third party claims should Greyhound Lines become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the necessary remediation. Future claims are estimated to approximate \$200,000.00.

KK. \$ 227,816.00 (known)
\$ 500,000.00 (unknown)
\$ 86,510.00 (recovered from North Carolina State reimbursement fund)
\$ 641,306.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred costs and expenses of approximately \$227,816.00, and recovered \$86,510.00 from a state pollution reimbursement fund. Copies of relevant and available invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation, preliminary assessment of the site, and remediation activities. Future costs for continuing remedial activities and possible third party claims are estimated to approximate \$500,000.00. This estimate takes into consideration the possibility that the additional costs may qualify for state reimbursement, and that additional state reimbursement funds are available.

LL. \$ 0 (known) \$ 200,000.00 (unknown) \$ 200,000.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred minimal or no costs and expenses related to this site. There is a strong possibility however, that this site may involve liability to the insured for remediation costs, natural resource, and/or third party damages should Greyhound Lines become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the necessary remediation. Future claims are estimated to approximate \$200,000.00.

MM. \$ 3,000.00 (known) \$ 100,000.00 (unknown) \$ 103,000.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM To date the insured has incurred costs and expenses of \$ 105,425.00. Copies of relevant and available invoices and checks issued on the invoices are attached. These costs are directly related to the insured's investigation of the site and its defense of the claim made by the EPA. Because this is a Superfund site there is a significant risk of exposure for future damages, including but not limited to natural resource and/or third party claims. Future claims are estimated to approximate be \$100,000.00.

NN. \$ 0 (known) \$ 200,000.00 (unknown) \$ 200,000.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

To date the insured has incurred minimal or no costs and expenses related to this site. There is a strong possibility however, that this site may involve liability to the insured for remediation costs, natural resource, and/or third party damages should Greyhound Lines become insolvent or otherwise unable to pay for the necessary remediation. Future claims are estimated to approximate \$200,000.00.

OO. \$ 0 (known) \$ 10,000,000.00 (unknown) \$ 10,000,000.00 TOTAL ESTIMATED CLAIM

Although to date the insured has incurred little or no costs or expenses associated with the **forty-one (41)** TLC sites noted above, given past history regarding the TLC sites (37% of the sites to date have been the source of pollution claims) there is a strong possibility that the insured may face significant exposure for governmental agency and third party claims. Future claims are, therefore, estimated to approximate \$10,000,000.00

7. Security backing up our claim

To our knowledge, there is no security backing up these claims.

9. Any setoff, counterclaim, or other defense which should be deducted from claim

Claims: A through F; I through N; Q; S; T; V through BB; DD through KK and MM through PP

The insured has received no money from any source, including but not limited to any state pollution recovery fund or other insurance.

Claims: G, H, O, P, R, U, CC, and KK

The insured has received some reimbursement from state recovery funds as noted above under each of the individual claims and, in one case, from other settling parties.

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC ANOKA, MN CLAIM (A)

- Consent Decree
- Memo re: gallons and cubic yards
- U.S. DOJ correspondence 1/22/96
- Correspondence to/from EPA 1993-1994
- Oak Grove cost recovery/contribution list
- Affidavit of Bert Anderson and correspondence re: same (2 copies) 2/28/94, 3/1/94
- Additional information on settlers with the Oak Grove host's cost recovery with contribution list

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC ATLANTA, GA CLAIM (B)

• No documents available at this time.

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC BERKS COUNTY, PA CLAIM (C)

- Court Documents re: US v. Berks Associates et al 6/14/95, 3/7/95, 10/26/94, 11/1/94, 6/30/94, 5/24/94, 6/20/94, 5/5/94, 4/25/94
- Correspondence re: US v. Berks Associates et al 4/25/94, 513/94, 12/9/93, 11/12/93
- Court Documents re: US v. Berks Associates et al 2/23/94, 2/21/94, 10/7/93
- Proof of claim and related correspondence 1/5/94
- Disbursement requests
- Correspondence 1995
- Correspondence re: US v. Berks Associates et al & Court documents re: same 1994, 10/21/93
- Third Amendment to the 3rd Party Complaint with attached exhibits

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC BUFFALO, N.Y. CLAIM (D)

• Invoices 1992

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC SANTA BARBARA, CA CLAIM (E)

- Settlement Offer Acceptance 2000
- Correspondence re: Casmalia Disposal Site 1998 1999
- Administrative Order Casmalia Disposal Site 1999
- Financial Review of Casmalia Disposal Site 2000
- Correspondence re: EPA Review of site 2000
- Partial consent decree 2003

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC CLEVELAND, OH CLAIM (F)

CORRESPONDENCE

- June 8, 1996 from Parsons Monthly monitoring report, attached monitoring summary re: ground water pollution.
- April 4, 1995 from Parsons Monthly monitoring report, attached monitoring summary re: groundwater pollution (free product).
- December 12, 1991 To K. Ries re: release motor oil (40% reimbursement) attach correspondence dated February 13,1990 from Ohio Dept. of Commerce re: corrective action guidance.
- November 25, 1987 Remediation at GLI advise consider recommendation.

INVOICES

- July 1999 to January 2000
- June 1993 to May 1998
- November 1989 to January 1992
- 1989 1993

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC DALLAS, TX CLAIM (G)

- Invoices –
 December 1999 January 2000
 1997, June, March, April, February January
 1996, September, October, November
- Re: State reimbursement correspondence from Greyhound to TLC
- Brown & Caldwell site assessment (Phase I and II)
- Environmental Materials, Inc. (EMI) November 1990
- Correspondence from Greyhound to TLC re: tank removal August 20, 1990
- Correspondence from Greyhound to Texas Water Commission re: assessment of subsurface release of diesel fuel April 4, 1989
- Correspondence from TLC TO Greyhound Lines re: Responsibility for remediation expenses – February 13, 1989
- Correspondence to D. Muir from Greyhound re: 1/14/86 phone call and tank leakage

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC FRESNO, CA CLAIM (I)

- Settlement Note 8/18/98
- Pleadings (Purity oil)
- Purity Oil Superfund Correspondence (1/3/96 3/11/97)
- Letter, Dragna to Judge Phillips re: First Amended Facilities List, 2/16/96
- Barton depositions
- Fax to Purity Oil Sales ADR participants from Cheryl Nunn, 12/3/97 re: mailing list
- Fax to ADR participants re: update on Consent Decree and DTSC, 4/21/97
- Letters re: invoices, and attached invoice for Graham & James LLP
- Redline version of original DOJ Consent Decree and raised draft Consent Decree from 4/14/97 negotiating session with DOJ/EPA
- Fax to ADR participants from Daniel Boone re: Purity Oil sales site, 12/22/95
- Fax to Purity ADR participants from Sandra Waddell, 12/8/95 re: memo from Boone & Associates
- Fax from Erika Herbold to William Arbitman, 11/6/95 with enclosed Stay of Accelerated Mediation Schedule
- 10/30/95 invoice
- Fax from Kristin Miyagi to William Arbitman, 9/15/95 with enclosed Stay of Accelerated Mediation Schedule
- Letter to DR participating parties from Layn Phillips re: Stay of Accelerated Mediation Schedule, 9/13/95
- 8/6/95 invoice
- Letter/attachments to ADR participating parties re: commencement of Purity ADR, 7/18/95
- Handwritten note
- Letter with Attachments from William Arbitman to Anthony Chirg re: Purity Oil ADR
- Fax from William Arbitman to Boone & Associates re: Purity Oil Superfund Site – ADR Authorization, 5/31/95
- Letter from Anthony Chirg to Robert Wilmoth re: Purity Oil Superfund Site, ADR meeting 5/16/95 (with attachments)
- Letter from Kenneth Finney re: Effective date of the Purity Oil Administrative order on Consent, 1/7/94 with attachments
- Letter from Kyle Beaty to Ries re: Purity Oil Superfund site, 9/22/94
- Letter from Thomas Duggan to Matthew Strassberg (U.S. EPA) re: Purity Oil Superfund Site, 9/1/94
- Letter from Nicholas van Aelstyn to Amy Fraenkel re: Purity Oil Sales Superfund Site 9/29/94

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC FRESNO, CA CLAIM (I) (continued)

- Fax from LeBueuf to Van Aelstyn re: Purity Oil Sales Superfund Site candidates for mediator/arbiter, 8/25/94
- Martindale Hubbell reports
- Gregorio, Haldeman & Piazza information
- Invoice from Heller, Ehman, White & McAuliffe 8/18/04
- Invoice from Heller, Ehman, White & McAuliffe 8/2/94
- Letter to all PRP's re: Purity Oil Superfund Info/Update from Strassberg, 7/26/94
- Letter to EPA re: Purity Oil Superfund info/update from Michael Scott Feeley, 5/31/94
- Service List, UPS/Purity Oil Superfund Site
- Service List update, 5/27/94
- Service List update, 5/19/94
- Service List, UPS/Purity Oil Sueprfund Site
- Service List updates 4/5/94
- Notice of Deposition for McLeod and Panecaldo 3/25/94
- Letter re: settlement of OU2 Purity Oil Superfund to Daniel Boone from Ries, 1/11/94 with attached Administrative Order on Consent
- Letter to Robert Wilmoth re: effective date of the Purity Oil Administrative Order on Consent, 1/10/94
- Article on Environmental stigma damages
- Letter to participants in Purity Oil Sales re: Appointment of local liaison counsel, 9/17/93
- Memo to W.A. Arbitman from Ries re: TLC's consideration of the Administrative Order on Consent, 12/7/93, with enclosures
- Memo to Purity Oil participants from de minimus PRP Group Executive Committee re: proposed Administrative Order on Consent, 11/10/93
- Letter from Armida Flores re: Purity Oil Administrative Consent Order for Remedial Design with enclosures, 10/4/93
- Letter with enclosed correspondence from Luci Amaro to Ries re: Superfund, 8/3/93
- Faxed Superfund volumetric analysis, 8/17/93
- Letter from Chin to Wilmoth re: status of negotiations between EPA and Purity Oil, 6/30/94
- Letter from Amaro to Ries re: Superfund memo and correspondence, 6/22/93 (includes settlement proposal correspondence)
- Correspondence re: GLI facilities, 7/12/93
- Notes and fax re: EPA proposal for partial settlement, 6/30/93
- Fax re: AOC "Standstill Tolling Signature" to Wlmoth/Rise from Ching
- Fax from Ries to Ching re: AOC consideration, 12/7/93
- Memo from Ries to Arbitman re: AOC consideration, 12/7/93
- PRP Pledge of \$500

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC FRESNO, CA CLAIM (I) (continued)

- Correspondence from Amaro to Ries re: Superfund with enclosed correspondence, 7/8/93
- Letter from Ries to Ching re: signed signature page of AOC, 12/22/93
- Memo to participants from de minimus PRP Group Executive Committee with AOC by EPA, letter from Keith Tanaka of EPA, and Standstill/tolling agreement, 11/10/93
- Letter retarticle on Purity toxic waste cite (enclosed) from Ching to Wilmoth, 12/1/93
- Fax from Finney to participants re: negotiations with EPA and steering committee, 10/1/93
- Correspondence 9/93
- Correspondence 6/93 (includes information re: settlement proposal)
- Correspondence 5/93, 4/93, 7/92 (including info re: deposition of Bob Barton, 6/92 (includes EPA notice letter)
- PRP list
- Correspondence from EPA to list re: Purity Oil Sales Superfund Site
- Superfund Referenced Facilities Chart
- EPA article "EPA Revised Proposed Plan for Soil Cleanup ..." (one copy in Spanish)
- Purity Oil Sales Site Consent Decree 4/21/98; 12/29/98
- Court documents re: U.S. and CA v. Chevron, et al 11/2/98; 10/29/98
- Correspondence re: Site Work Agreement and Consent Decree 2/20/98; Final Settlement Documents 12/3/97
- Purity Site Work Agreement
- Consent Decree U.S. and CA v. Chevron, et al (plus appendices A-G)

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC JACKSONVILLE, FL CLAIM (K & L)

- Invoices 1991
- Remedial Action Plan Addendum 1993
- Wadsworth Alert Lab Results 1992
- Analytical Report 1992

GARAGE:

- General Correspondence
- Initial Remedial Action Report October 1990
- Contamination Assessment Report August 1990

TERMINAL

General Correspondence 1987 – 1991

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC LOUISVILLE, KY CLAIM (N)

- Invoices 1989 2001
- Correspondence 1996
- Parsons June 1996 Monthly Monitoring Report (with attachments)
- Bioventing Pilot Test Report November 1993

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC MADISON, WI CLAIM (O)

- Correspondence from Wisconsin Department of Commerce November 28, 2003, re: closed remediation sites
- Correspondence from M. Watson April 20, 2001, to Wisconsin Dept. of Commerce Report re: results of groundwater monitoring
- M. Watson correspondence to VIAD, November 4, 1999, re: request for closure – draft request for closure
- Correspondence re: PEFCA closed site cleanup progress 1997 M. Watson discharge monitoring reports
- Semi-Annual status report May 1997- November 1997
- Groundwater Monitoring Report 1997
- Mid-State Associates, Inc. Analytic Report 7/01/90
- May 21, Monthly status report
- PECFA Reimbursement claim documents (May 1, 2001)
- Correspondence re: letter of credit 4/11/04
- Correspondence re: Request for information for PECFA claim November 22, 2000, from Montgomery Watson
- Correspondence re: non storm water discharge Montgomery Watson City of Madison
- Montgomery Watson monthly status report February 8, 2001, April/May November 2000, May/June, July & August 2000
- Canceled checks for PECFA November 3, 2000
- Correspondence re: groundwater monitoring by Montgomery Watson September 12, 2000
- Correspondence re: denial of closure letter from Montgomery Watson to Wisconsin Department of Commerce
- Correspondence regarding draft request for closure 6/17/00
- Correspondence re: request for closure December 9,1999
- Correspondence, December 16, 1999, acceptance of work order
- Correspondence on December 15 re: same M. Watson/Viad
- October 19, 1999 canceled checks
- Report September 14, 1999 re: discharge report form
- April 12, 1999 semi annual status report by Montgomery Watson regroundwater contamination
- Correspondence and Invoices (includes Warzyn monthly status reports; Remedial Investigation Work Plan, 3/23/90) 1989 – 1998
- Wisconsin Department of Industry, Labor and Human Relations PECFA fund overview

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC MIAMI, FL CLAIM (R)

- Remedial Action Plan Addendum II May 1997 (with attached appendices)
- Contamination Assessment Report August 1991 (with attached appendices)
- Remedial Action Plan June 1992
- Invoices

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC MONTEREY PARK, CA CLAIM (U)

- Correspondence 1999-2000
- Fourth Partial Consent Decree
- Exhibit C Scope of Work
- Fourth Partial Consent Decree
- Calculation of Orphan Share Compensation
- OII Major Parties Information and data
- EPA letter re: final remedy special notice letter
- Court documents Buto & Emelina v. "21" International Holdings, Inc.
- Third Partial Consent Decree with attached exhibits
- TLC v. CA court order 10/28/93
- Hydrogeological Investigation Report, 12/18/92
- 1997 Correspondence
- Tax Department requested documents, for 1997-2000
- Draft, Eighth Partial Consent Decree
- Draft, Exhibit C Scope of Work
- Draft, Eighth Partial Consent Decree
- OII Consent Decree signed
- Court documents 4th Partial Consent Decree; Partial Consent Decree with attached appendices) and 3rd Partial Consent Decree (with attached exhibits) 2 copies
- OII pleadings 1990 1993
- 1990 1991 Pleadings and related correspondence
- 1992 1993 Pleadings
- Assessments 1989 1992
- Raymont disbursal requests 1989 –1992
- Reports and Proposals
- 1998 Correspondence and court documents
- OII Updates
- PRP Informational Meeting Agenda 12/8/97
- Response letter and requested documents to EPA 1/8/88
- EPA advance notice of public comment period
- Reclamation
- Partial Consent Decree and attachments and appendices
- Correspondence re: DOJ Settlement
- Preliminary statement
- Correspondence re: OII manifests
- EPA Correspondence re: Special Notice for remedial design/remedial action and demand letter
- Tender of defense letters

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC MONTEREY PARK, CA CLAIM (U - PAGE 2)

- Correspondence re: Argonaut Insurance Company 1989
- Correspondence re: Greyhound Corp 1998
- Correspondence re: First State Insurance Company 1998
- Correspondence re: National Union Fire Insurance 1998
- CERCLA complaint from EPA 1991
- Site description and scope of work description 1989
- Correspondence re: environmental liabilities 1998
- Correspondence re: Traveler's Indemnity Company 1997
- Correspondence re: John Hancock 1997
- Correspondence re: Abalo File 1997
- Correspondence re: Crowley Marine Services 1998
- OII Steering Committee Phone List
- Correspondence re: Abalo 1994 1995
- OII Consent Decree Drafts 1991
- OII Volumetric Totals 1991
- Correspondence re: OII 1992 1993
- Correspondence 1997 1998
- General Correspondence 7/01 01/02: includes eighth partial consent decree volumetric list
- General Correspondence 02/02 06/02
- OII Steering Committee report on review of financial documents (2 copies)
- Correspondence re: Response by Greyhound to EPA letter 1988
- Response re: EPA letter to OII 1988

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC NEW YORK, NY CLAIM (X)

• Correspondence 1989

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC OAKLAND, CA CLAIM (Y)

• Invoices 1989 – 1997

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC PALMER, MA CLAIM (AA) (continued)

- Correspondence re: Consent Decree
- · Consent Decree
- Correspondence/copies of checks re: PSC Site PRP Group Fund
- EPA's Remedial Design/Remedial Action letter and exhibits 10/1/93
- Correspondence re: PRP Agreement for PSC site and Agreement 5/11/92
- EPA's notification of potentially interested parties at Palmer Superfund 4/23/92
- "EPA Proposes Cleanup Plan" article
- Acquisition Agreement
- Correspondence re: settlement and overpayment check and information
- Correspondence re: service of Process and Order on 1/31/95, 11/2/95, 11/7/95
- EPA article "Over 160 Parties Agree to Pay"
- Court pleadings re: U.S. v. AMF Reece et al and Commonwealth of MA v. AMF Reece et al, rec'd 1/25/75; other court pleadings rec'd 11/5/94
- Correspondence (general) re: nonpayment of third assessment; meeting; settlement committee letter

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC PHOENIX, AZ CLAIM (CC)

- Law Gibb Group invoices
- Braun & Caldwell letter 9/9/99
- Letter from Ries to Phil Lagas, 8/23/99
- Letter from Ries to Kevin Hebert, 9/7/99
- Letter/fax from Braun & Caldwell to Ries, 8/3/98(sic)
- Letter from B & L to Hydrologist, 8/10/99
- Letter, B& L to Ries re: Addendum 5 to contract, 6/28/99
- Letter/fax Ries to BGL re: his terminal, 8/23/99
- Memo, Rise to Goldman re: EMION report, 8/10/95
- Letter, Blue to Ervanian re: Phase II analysis, 6/9/95
- Letter, Ervanian to Blue re: Phoenix TLC, 6/13/95
- Letter with attachments re: groundwater contamination, 6/12/95
- Memo to Wheeler re: right to reimbursement, 6/12/95
- Email, Ervanian to Ries re: environmental package, 5/17/95
- Letter re: environmental/remediation/said issues with enclosures, 5/2/95
- Memo, Ervanian to Lemon, re: Phoenix terminal, 5/5/95
- Memo, Ries to Ernanian re: TLC Phoenix, 4/26/95
- Handwritten notes
- Phase B proposal to obtain site closure, 3/27/95
- Memo re: Emcon, Ries to Ervanian, 1/13/95
- EMCON Corrective Action Plan
- Phase II Hydrogeologic Investigation
- Subsurface Soil Investigation
- Monitoring Well Investigation 1990
- Correspondence re: EMCON 1995
- Peterson Consulting Correspondence 1995
- Dial Corp. invoices 93-96
- Drilling license applications 1991
- Correspondence re: State Assurance Fund 1996
- Correspondence re: TLC 1996
- Invoices re: Testing Results 1997
- Soil Stockpile Sampling and Testing 1996
- Environmental Site Assessment 1996
- Correspondence re: TLC (Invoices) 1997
- Settlement Agreement (City of Phoenix and TLC) 1997
- Groundwater Monitoring Report 1997
- Invoices re: Brown and Caldwell 1997
- Analytical Results (TLC) 1997
- Arizona Dept. of Environmental Quality Report 1997
- ATEL Test Report 1997

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC PHOENIX, AZ CLAIM (CC)

- Environmental Consulting Services Agreement 1997
- Deposition of Ken Ries 1997
- Access Agreement 1997
- Groundwater Monitoring Report 1997
- Site Characterization Report 1997
- Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release 1997
- State Assurance Fund Application Package 1997
- Geo Tech Corrective Actions Report 1997
- City of Phoenix v. TLC Complaint 1997
- Geo Tech Over Excavation Testing 1997
- Geo Tech Test Results 1997
- Arizona State Assurance Pre-Approval Workplan App. 1997
- Correspondence re: Bus Terminal 1997
- Arizona Dept. Of Environmental Quality Packet 1996 97
- Correspondence re: TLC January 1998 March 1998
- Invoices March 27, 1998
- Correspondence to Jim Clarke March 3, 1998
- Multiple Release Site Characterization Report January 1998
- State Assurance Fund Reimbursement
- Settlement Agreement City of Phoenix and TLC 1997
- Correspondence April 1998 December 1999
- Correspondence re: Arizona Dept. of Env. Quality
- Multiple Release Characterization Report January 1999
- Dissolved Phase Groundwater Corrective Action Plan August 1998
- Invoice re: Phoenix site clean-up costs 1998
- Correspondence re: Arizona Dept. of Environmental Quality 2001
- Bi-Annual Remedial Progress Report 2000
- Bi-Annual Remedial Progress Report 1999
- Correspondence re: State Assurance Fund 2000
- Annual Remedial Progress Report 2003
- Invoices 2003
- Annual Remedial Progress Report 2002
- Correspondence re: Application for corrective action expenses 2002
- Environmental Consulting Services 1999
- Bi-Annual Remedial Progress Report 2002
- Free Product Status Report 2004

Bus Terminal

- Bi-Annual Remedial Progress Report Jan. 21, 2002) re: December 12, 2001 groundwater sampling event
- Correspondence re: same from Law Gibb Group Report
- February 10, 2004 Free Product Recovery Work Pan by Mactec re: groundwater monitoring

- San Diego Garage General Correspondence from 01/01 05/01
- 2000 Annual Report for Floating Product Remediation and Groundwater Monitoring
- Weighmaster Certificate documents
- Correspondence 06/01 08/14/01
- AMEC's Addendum No. 1 to summary of recently completed environmental remediation activities 7/24/01
- PSI Inspection Reports
- Summary of recently completed Environmental Remediation Activities (June 2001) (3 copies)
- San Diego Garage General Correspondence
- Addendum No. 1 to summary report of identified soil disposal, treatment, reuse activities (with attachments)
- Summary Report of identified soil disposal, treatment, reuse activities
- 2000 Semi-annual report for floating product remediation and groundwater monitoring (with attached appendices)
- San Diego garage correspondence 5/02 5/03
- Draft copy of the addendum to the request for closure of Floating Product Remediation and Groundwater Monitoring Report 1/15/03 (2 copies)
- Invoices 10/02 5/03
- Revised Human Health Risk Assessment for the proposed Development, 3/6/60
- 2002 Annual Report for Floating Product Remediation and Groundwater Monitoring 1/29/03
- Addendum No. 5 to Summary of Recently Completed Environmental Remediation Activities
- Draft of 2002 Annual Report 1/23/03
- Second draft Request for Closure of Floating Product Remediation and Groundwater Monitoring 1/7/03
- Draft Request for Closure of Floating Product Remediation and Groundwater Monitoring 12/2/02
- Draft of 2002 Semi-Annual Report for Floating Product Remediation and Groundwater Monitoring 9/20/02
- Addendum No. 3 to Summary of Recently Completed Environmental Remediation Activities 8/1/02
- Invoices
- Invoice Log
- Correspondence re: Invoice
- Invoices
- Billing Summaries
- Report 1997: Floating Product Remediation and Groundwater Monitoring/Remediation Report

- Settlement Agreement
- Closure Report
- 1994 Report re: Floating Product Remediation/Groundwater Monitoring
- · Reimbursement package
- Correspondence re: groundwater cleanup and allocation of costs
- Corrective action plans
- UST cleanup application
- Tank closure report
- Remediation Action Plan and Permit
- Research Project 1992
- Correspondence re: Closing Report 2000
- California Reimbursement Request documents 2000
- Soil Testing Reports 2000
- Pre-excavation soil sample results 2000
- Remediation Agreement 2000
- Correspondence re: San Diego Project 2000
- Correspondence re: Bid Approval 2000
- Subcontract Agreement Marina Place 2000
- Correspondence re: request for bids 2000
- Remediation agreement 2000
- Annual Report for Remediation 1999
- Health Risk Assessment 2000
- Request for Proposal (Phase I) 2000
- Subcontract Agreement Marina 2000
- Treatment Plan (Market Street) 2000
- Correspondence re: Remediation 1998 2000
- Human Health Risk Assessment 2000
- Soil Reuse Work Plan 1999
- Semi-Annual Report 1999
- Amended Corrective Action Plan 1999
- Correspondence re: Remediation Agreement 1999
- California Regional Water Quality Control 1989
- Correspondence Re: Victor George 1989
- Closure Report 1989
- Applied Consultants Test Reports 1989
- Correspondence re: Greyhound 1989
- Contamination Assessment 1989
- Site Assessment Investigation 1989
- Master Plan for Remedial Action 1988

- Reimbursement request plc #2 to State of California April 2004 Prepared by AMEC
- Correspondence re: ERCE Report 1990
- U.S. v. Chevron Chemical Company 1991
- Remedial Action Cleanup Plan 1991
- ERCE Reports 1991
- Correspondence re: Stephen Thomas 1991
- Geometrix work plan and cost estimate 1991
- Correspondence re: Cleanup and abatement order 1991
- Work plan and cost estimate for groundwater monitoring 1991
- Uniform Hazardous waste manifest 1991
- Correspondence re: Remediation Agreement 1991
- Commercial Lines Policy 1990
- Soil Manifests 1990
- Uniform Hazardous Waste Manifests 1989
- Geometrix Agreement with Consultants 1990
- Remedial Action Plan on Floating Products 1990
- Correspondence re: Bankruptcy Petition 1990
- Correspondence re: Chris Trautman's Review 1990
- Correspondence re: Remediation and Trust Agreement 1990
- Phase I Agreement Marina Redevelopment Project 1990
- Soil Remediation 1990
- Closure Report 1990
- Annual Report re: Floating Material 1995
- Annual Report for Floating Material 1993
- Semi- Annual Report for Floating Material 1993
- Annual Report for Floating Material 1992
- Draft Remedial Action Plan 1990
- Remedial Action Strategy Proposal 1990
- Semi Annual Remediation and Monitoring Report 1992
- Soil Investigation Report 1991
- 2nd Draft Remedial Action Plan 1990
- Semi-Annual Report 1992
- Correspondence April 16, 2002 VIAD to AMEC re: Scope of services and incurred/projected costs
- Correspondence April 11, 2002 re: 2002 Work plan and budget estimate
- Correspondence April 26, 2002 re: Trust account payments to Geometrix
- Geomatrix report re: 2001 annual report for Floating Products Remediation and Groundwater Monitoring
- Invoices January 29, 2002 March 11, 2002 re: services from Geometrix

- Addendum No. 2 from AMEC re: Completed environmental remediation activities
- Correspondence Internal re: Delay in reimbursement
- AMEC Correspondence re: Summary of December 19, 2002 Meeting regarding soil characterization and disposal protocols
- Correspondence re: AMEX following procedures November 26, 2001
- Correspondence Nov. 26, 2001 to San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board
- Correspondence from California Regional Water Control Board July 2, 2001 re: comments on complete remediation report submitted in compliance with California water code
- Correspondence from County of San Diego Department of Environmental Health to TLC re: soil re-use
- California Region Water Quality Control Board November 15, 2001 re: Remediation Report
- Reimbursement request 10/11/01
- Memo re: reimbursement state funds
- Groundwater Monitoring Report: August 24, 2001 Geometrix
- Check for \$91,607.71 to EPA hazardous substance Superfund
- Correspondence including invoices dated April 22, 2004, February 25, 1997, February 13, April 9, September 18
- Charge Order \$5,000.00 February 2004
- AMEC Bill \$20,976.55 -> \$4, 023.45 12/23/03
- Greyhound demand fro payment from VIAD correspondence November 10,2003
- Listing of payments by VIAD December 11, 2003 \$2,955,104.39
- AMEC bill 10/3/03
- Invoices 5/99 2/04 Ogden, Gibson, et. al.
- Correspondence from California State Water Resources Control Board 11/3/03
- Invoices December 21, 2000 Correspondence re: the same 15 invoices \$600,000.00
- Invoices Ogden 1998 2000
- Fax re: Remediation Agreement 8/12/99
- Email re: Amended authorization not dated
- Letter from Hoover at Mekenna and Cereo re: RWQCB Joint Closure Request 8/6/99
- Joint Closure Request 7/21/99
- Invoice Log dates 8/25/99 8/3/99
- Email from Rics to Dennis re: San Diego Property 8/9/99
- Letter/fax from Ries to Roberts re: Greyhound maintenance site 8/9/99

- Letter/fax from Ries to Barnie re: Greyhound Site 8/6/99
- Letter from John Roberts to Reis re: groundwater evaluation 8/3/99
- Fax from Frank Alissi re: Marina project area trust fund, 8/4/99
- Email with attachment from Patrick Dennis to Ries re: redlined version of agreement 7/24/99
- Email from Dennis to Ries re: San Diego property 7/24/99
- Fax/letter from Upper to Ries 8/24/99
- Fax/letter from Upper to Ries re: lots C through J 8/24/99
- Email from Ries to Dennis re: 539 First Avenue 9/1/99
- Faxed letter from Barnie to Ries re: CAP 8/31/99
- Eamil from Ries to Dennis re: San Diego property 8/24/99
- Letter from Upper to Roberts re: 539 First Avenue 8/24/99
- Invoice No. 990800193 8/25/99
- Letter from Ries to Upper/Hoover re: 539 First Avenue 8/20/99
- Email from Ries to Novak re: remediation plan 8/3/99
- Email from Ries to Novak re: reimbursement 8/5/99
- Fax/Letter from Dennis to Recs re: 539 First Avenue 9/7/99
- Letter with enclosures re: UST Cleanup Fund Program 8/31/99
- Letter from McKenna and Cereo re: lots C through J 8/24/99
- Invoice log 8/25/89 8/10/99
- Email from Ries to Barnie re: revised CAP 8/30/99
- Email from Ries to Dennis re: RWQCB 8/30/99
- Email from Ries to Hoover re: CAP 8/30/99
- Eamil from Ries to Hoover re: Amended CAP with enclosed amended CAP
- 1991 Invoices
- 1992 Invoices
- 1990 Invoices
- 1989 Invoices
- Casmalla Resources Waste Confirmation usual checks 10/25/89 10/30/89
- Angus Asphalt Invoices 12/6/89, 11/29/89, 12/8/89, 11/14/89
- Lillick and McHose Invoices 1989
- Casmalian Resources waste confirmation check 10/26/89
- Lillick and McHose invoices 1988 1989
- Letter from Ries to Surge re: hearing 6/14/89
- Fax from Surge to Ries with underground storage tanks removal project 6/16/89
- Letter to John Anderson from L & M re; request for public hearing 6/27/89
- Letter to Cadin Delany from L & M re: cleanup and abatement order 5/24/89
- Letter to John Anderson from L & M re: cleanup and abatement order 6/22/89
- Letter to Vernon Surge from L & M re: RWQCB hearing report 6/27/89

- State of California Regional Water Quality Control Board Mettering agenda 7/10/89
- Letter from L & M to Cadin Delany re: cleanup and abatement order 7/7/89
- Letter from L & Mto John Anderson re: cleanup and abatement order 6/22/89
- Full meeting agenda
- California regional water Quality Control Board Board Information Sheet
- Notices of public hearing 6/5/89
- Notice of Public Hearing 5/29/89
- Notice of Public Hearing 5/23/89
- Notice of Public Hearing 5/26/89
- Notice of Public Hearing 5/26/89
- Tracer Leak Test of 5 Underground Storage Tanks June 1989
- Invoice 12/27/89
- Invoice Log 8/25/89 9/5/90
- Invoices 9/89 4/90
- Haley and Aldrick Draft Report on Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
- Archive Records transfer sheet 7/28/03

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC SANTA ROSA, CA CLAIM (GG)

- Invoices 2003
- Settlement Agreement 2003
- Invoices 2001 2003
- Uniform Hazardous Waste Manifest 2003
- Cleanup and Abatement Order 2000
- Boring Results 2000
- Invoices 1990

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC SEATTLE, WA CLAIM (II)

- Correspondence re: Groundwater Monitoring 1997
- Invoices re: Groundwater Monitoring 1992 1997
- Correspondence re: Groundwater Monitoring Sampling 1995
- Invoices re: Engineering Costs 1995 1997
- Phase I Environmental Site Assessment 1994
- Asbestos Survey Report 1995
- Bioventing System Report 1992
- Phase II Investigation Report 1995
- Invoices re: Groundwater Monitoring 1999
- Correspondence re: Bioventing Mediation 1992
- Bioventing Pilot test report 1992
- Tracer test of underground storage tanks 1990
- Correspondence re: ATEC Associates 1994
- Real Estate Purchase Agreement (TLC) 1994
- Subsurface Investigation 1989

WEST MEMPHIS, AR CLAIM (JJ)

- Correspondence re: American Home Insurance Co. 1975 1978
- Correspondence re: Argoment Insurance Co. 1998
- Correspondence re: Continental Casualty Company 1998
- Tender of Defense Letters 1998
- Correspondence re: Pilot Allocation Project 1996
- Members of the South 8th Street Group 1996
- Administrative Order for Remedial Design 1997

Also listed on claims "G" of AFC & "J" of ASIG

- General Correspondence 1993 1998
- Waiver at Special Notice Letter and Notice of Intent to Allocate Liability
- Unilateral Administrative Order 11/18/99
- Correspondence 1999 includes: Statement of Work Order Staying in Part and Establishing Schedule for Actions
- EPA Correspondence 1994 (including draft agenda)
- Administrative Order on Consent for Remedial Design
- Correspondence 7/22/96 7/14/98 (Includes amended complaint; Administrative Order on consent for remedial design for source control operable unit; Amended proof of claim of U.S on behalf of U.S. EPA; attachments
- Correspondence 4/14/93 6/27/97 (includes general notice letter from EPA)
- Correspondence March September 1998
- Correspondence 10/98 11/98
- Unilateral Administrative Order 11/18/98
- Correspondence 12/98 3/99
- General Correspondence 4/99 12/99 (includes remediation contract)
- Correspondence and Court Documents 01/00 12/01

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC WINSTON SALEM, NC CLAIM (KK)

- Correspondence 1991 1993
- Comprehensive Site Assessment, Ground Water September 1992
- Report of soil sampling for underground storage tanks, January 1991
- Deed of Trust Note
- Cost summary sheet and supplemental forms from North Carolina State Trust Fund, guidance and instructions for reimbursement
- Invoices 1994 1999
- Correspondence 1998 2000 (Includes Invoices)
- Evaluation of Free-Product Recovery Methods 2/5/00
- Free Product Recovery Report 9/28/99 (includes tables, figures and appendices)
- Invoices 2003
- Cost Summary Report 2002
- Free Product Recovery Report 2003
- Invoices 1992 2003
- Site Investigation Report 1995
- Notice of Violation Report 1995
- Trust fund applications 1994
- Correspondence re: Well Sampling Activities 1993

INDEX TO DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED FOR TLC ZIONSVILLE, IN CLAIM (LL-MM-NN)

- Correspondence re: Settlement 1990
- Consent Decree 4/16/90
- Correspondence re: Settlement 1989
- Settlement Signatures of defendant's; final consent decree for consideration
- Article on settlement "180 parties agree"
- Court Documents re: US v. American Waste Processing (6/18/90; 10/2/90)